

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,075	/677,075 09/30/2003		Takeshi Konno	TOW-045	8343
959	7590	06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
LAHIVE &	& COCKI	FIELD	NGUYEN, NAM V		
28 STATE BOSTON,		19		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
2001011,	021	. 0210)		2612	
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/28/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/677,075	KONNO, TAKESHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nam V. Nguyen	2612	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid a this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evic places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within o time periods:	lence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection,	whichever is later. In action.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate appropriate is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final (set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	opriate extension fee Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two mo filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	nths of the date of the appeal. Since
3. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered	l because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	, 500000
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying appeal; and/or	ng the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	•
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendme	nt (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amend non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	ment canceling the
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) solution will not be entered, or b) solution will be entered and a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	n explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	not be entered e is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brid entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(	fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attempt the reconsideration/OTHER	ached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allow	vance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper Note:  13. Other:  WENDY R. GARBER	
GUPET, VISORY PATENT EXAM	IINER 500

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Referring to Claim 1,the amended claim add the limitation that wherein said instrument panel is disposed around a handle bar near the center of rotation of said handle bar raises new issue that requires further consideration. The amended claims require further search. Therefore the amended claims will not be entered.

2